IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 2571 of 2004

Between:

M/s.Maheswari Minerals, rep. by its Managing Partner, Sri P.Ramakrishna, S/o.P.Jagan Mohan Rao, R/o.G-406, M Girishlkara Enclave, Erramanzil, Hilltop Colony, Hyderabad-500082.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of India, rep. by Secretary, Ministry of Steel and Mines, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi-110001.
2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary, Industries and Commerce Department, Secretariat, Hyd.
3 The Director, Mines & Geology, 8th Floor, B.R.K.R. Buildings, Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order or writ one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not disposing of the Revision dt. 7-9-2003 questioning orders of the second respondent in rejecting of the application of the petitioner for grant of Mining Lease for Laterite in over an extent of Acs. 60-00 in Sy.No.1, Chintaluru Village, Prathipadu Mandal, East Godavari District as arbitrary, illegal and unjust contrary to rules.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SMT.N.SHOBA

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR.A.RAJASHEKAR REDDY (SC FOR CG)

Counsel for Respondents 2 and 3: Government Pleader for Industries and Commerce.

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:-

Heard both the learned counsel and at their request, the writ petition itself is taken up for disposal.

Petitioner has applied for mining lease, which was rejected on 14-10-2000 and again the petitioner made a representation for reconsideration of it's application, which was also rejected on 18-7-2003. Against the said order of rejection, petitioner preferred revision before first respondent as long back as on 7-9-2003. The complaint of the petitioner now is that neither the said revision is disposed of nor any interim order is passed in the application filed by the petitioner.

Having heard the submissions made on either side, it is not necessary to go into the merits of the submissions. Suffice to direct first respondent to dispose of the revision pending before it.

Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of directing first respondent to dispose of the revision filed by the petitioner and pending before it within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in accordance with law on merits after giving notice and opportunity to both the parties.

9—09—2004.

Stv/-

To

1 The Government of India, rep. by Secretary, Ministry of Steel and Mines, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi-110001.
2 The Government of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary, Industries and Commerce Department, Secretariat, Hyd.
3 The Director, Mines & Geology, 8th Floor, B.R.K.R. Buildings, Tank Bund Road, Hyderabad.

4. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Industries and Commerce, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.(O.U.T)

5. Two CD copies.